

Wave trace invariants

Lecture II: Global aspects of Fourier integral operators

Ingo Witt

University of Göttingen

Summer School on Spectral Geometry

Göttingen, September 9-12, 2014

Yesterday's result

- $X - \mathcal{C}^\infty$ closed manifold, $\dim X = d$.
- $P \in \Psi^1(X; \Omega^{1/2})$ elliptic, $P = P^* > 0$.
- $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \leq \dots$ – eigenvalues of P , with associated eigenfunctions $\phi_j \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(X; \Omega^{1/2})$ which (are chosen to) form an ONB in $L^2(X; \Omega^{1/2})$.
- Wave kernel is $U(t, x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{it\lambda_j} \phi_j(x) \overline{\phi_j(y)} |dt|^{1/2}$.
- Wave trace is $w(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{it\lambda_j} |dt|^{1/2}$.

Lecture I: $w \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}; \Omega^{1/2})$ and

$$\text{WF}(w) \subseteq \{(t, \tau) \mid \exists (x, \xi): \chi_t(x, \xi) = (x, \xi), \xi \neq 0, \tau > 0\}.$$

Upon writing $w = \pi_* \Delta^* U$ (Δ^* – restriction to the diagonal, π_* – fiber integration), this follows from the propagation result for the first-order hyperbolic operator $-i\partial_t - P$:

$$\text{WF}(U) = \{(t, x, \tau, \xi; y, -\eta) \mid \tau = p(x, \xi), (x, \xi) = \chi_t(y, \eta), \xi \neq 0\}.$$

Historical comment

Analysis of the “big” singularity of $w(t)$ at $t = 0$ yields Weyl’s law (Hörmander ’68):

For $P \in \Psi^m(X; \Omega^{1/2})$, $m > 0$, $P = P^* > 0$ work with $P^{1/m} \in \Psi^1(X; \Omega^{1/2})$ to get

$$N_P(\lambda) = \#\{j \mid \lambda_j \leq \lambda\} = C \lambda^{d/m} + O(\lambda^{(d-1)/m}) \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty,$$

where

$$C = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{p(x,\xi) \leq 1} dx d\xi.$$

Today's lecture

To determine the nature of the singularity of $w \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$ at $t = T$, $T \neq 0$ the period of a periodic bicharacteristic, we need to know more about the analytic structure of the kernel $U = U(t, x, y)$.

- $U \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R} \times X \times X; \Omega^{1/2})$ is a **Lagrangian distribution** with respect to $\Lambda = \text{WF}(U)$.
- $\pi_* \Delta^*: \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times X \times X; \Omega^{1/2}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \Omega^{1/2})$ is a **Fourier integral operator** with underlying canonical relation $\{(t, \tau; t, x, x, \tau, \xi, \xi) \mid \tau \neq 0\}$.
- Under suitable assumptions, $\pi_* \Delta^*$ and U **compose cleanly**. Near $t = T$, this implies that $\pi_* \Delta^* U \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ is a Lagrangian distribution with respect to $\Lambda_T^\pm = \{(t, \tau) \mid t = T, \tau > 0\}$.

Lagrangian submanifolds

- $(T^*X \setminus 0, \sigma)$, where $\sigma = d\alpha$ and $\alpha = \xi dx$, is a **homogeneous symplectic manifold**.
- Homogeneous means that $M_\lambda^* \sigma = \lambda \sigma$ for all $\lambda > 0$, where M_λ is multiplication by λ in the fibers.
- $\Lambda \subset T^*X \setminus 0$ is a **homogeneous Lagrangian submanifold** if $\dim \Lambda = d$ and $\alpha|_\Lambda = 0$ ($\iff \sigma|_\Lambda = 0$ and $M_\lambda \Lambda = \Lambda$ for all $\lambda > 0$).
- $\chi_t: T^*X \setminus 0 \rightarrow T^*X \setminus 0$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ is a **canonical transformation** (i.e., a homogeneous symplectomorphism), where $\{\chi_t\}_t$ is the flow of H_p .

Phase functions

Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open, $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

- $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U \times (\mathbb{R}^N \setminus 0); \mathbb{R})$ is said to be a **phase function** if
 - $\varphi(x, \lambda\theta) = \lambda\varphi(x, \theta)$ for $\lambda > 0$,
 - $d\varphi \neq 0$ everywhere on $U \times (\mathbb{R}^N \setminus 0)$.
- A phase function φ is said to be **clean**, of excess e , if, in addition, the **critical set**

$$C_\varphi = \{(x, \theta) \mid \varphi'_\theta(x, \theta) = 0\}$$

is a $(d + e)$ -dimensional submanifold of $U \times (\mathbb{R}^N \setminus 0)$, with $T_{(x, \theta)} C_\varphi$ being given by the vanishing of the differentials $d\left(\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\theta_1}\right), \dots, d\left(\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\theta_N}\right)$.

- A phase function φ is said to be **non-degenerate** if it is clean of excess 0.

Parametrization of Lagrangian submanifolds

Let φ be a clean phase function, of excess e .

Proposition

$\Lambda_\varphi = \{(x, \varphi'_x(x, \theta)) \mid \varphi'_\theta(x, \theta) = 0\}$ is an immersed *homogeneous Lagrangian submanifold* of $T^*U \setminus 0$, and the map

$$C_\varphi \rightarrow \Lambda_\varphi, \quad (x, \theta) \mapsto (x, \varphi'_x(x, \theta))$$

is a fibration, with fibers of dimension e .

For $(x, \xi) \in \Lambda_\varphi$, denote by $C_{\varphi, (x, \xi)}$ the fiber over (x, ξ) .

Lagrangian distributions

Definition

$u \in \mathcal{D}'(X; \Omega^{1/2})$ is said to be a **Lagrangian distribution** of order m with respect to Λ if

- $\text{WF}(u) \subseteq \Lambda$,
- near any $\lambda^* \in \Lambda$, u is (microlocally) of the form $u(x) |dx|^{1/2}$, where

$$u(x) = (2\pi)^{-(d+2N-2e)/4} \int e^{i\varphi(x,\theta)} a(x,\theta) d\theta$$

and

- $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times (\mathbb{R}^N \setminus 0), \mathbb{R})$ is a clean phase function, of excess e , parametrizing $\Lambda (= \Lambda_\varphi)$ near λ^* ,
- $a \in \mathcal{S}^{m+(d-2N-2e)/4}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^N)$.

We write $u \in I^m(X, \Lambda; \Omega^{1/2})$.

An example: Conormal distributions

For $Y \subset X$ a \mathcal{C}^∞ submanifold, the **conormal bundle**

$$\Lambda = N^* Y \setminus 0 = \{(x, \xi) \mid x \in Y, \xi|_{T_x Y} = 0\} \subset (T^* X \setminus 0)|_Y$$

is a Lagrangian submanifold of $T^* X \setminus 0$.

In this case, we write $I^m(X, Y; \Omega^{1/2}) = I^m(X, N^* Y \setminus 0; \Omega^{1/2})$, and distributional $1/2$ -densities belonging to this space are said to be **conormal with respect to Y** .

Lemma

a) Let $Q \in \Psi^1(X; \Omega^{1/2})$. Then

$$Q: I^m(X, \Lambda; \Omega^{1/2}) \rightarrow I^{m+1}(X, \Lambda; \Omega^{1/2}).$$

b) If, in addition, $\sigma^0(Q)|_\Lambda = 0$, then

$$Q: I^m(X, \Lambda; \Omega^{1/2}) \rightarrow I^m(X, \Lambda; \Omega^{1/2}).$$

The Keller-Maslov bundle

Introduce the Keller-Maslov bundle as a (locally constant) complex line bundle $M_\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ as follows:

- Let φ, ψ be clean phase functions such that $\Lambda_\varphi \subseteq \Lambda$, $\Lambda_\psi \subseteq \Lambda$, and $\Lambda_\varphi \cap \Lambda_\psi \neq \emptyset$.
- Transition from Λ_φ to Λ_ψ is by multiplying by $e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}(\operatorname{sgn} \varphi''_{\theta\theta} - \operatorname{sgn} \psi''_{\theta\theta})}$ which takes values in $\mathbb{Z}_4 = \{\pm 1, \pm i\}$.

The principal symbol map

For $u(x) = (2\pi)^{-(d+2N-2e)/4} \int e^{i\varphi(x,\theta)} a(x,\theta) d\theta$ as above, define the **principal symbol** $\sigma^{m+d/4}(u(x)|dx|^{1/2})$ of $u(x)|dx|^{1/2}$ as a section of $M_\Lambda \otimes \Omega^{1/2}$ by

$$\Lambda_\varphi \ni (x, \xi) \mapsto \int_{C_{\varphi,(x,\xi)}} e^{i\frac{\pi}{4} \operatorname{sgn} \Phi} a_{\bar{m}}(x, \theta', \theta'') |\det \Phi|^{-1/2} d\theta'',$$

where $\bar{m} = m + (d - 2N - 2e)/4$. Further, split $\theta = (\theta', \theta'')$ in such a way that the map $C_{\varphi,(x,\xi)} \ni (x, \theta) \mapsto \theta''$ has bijective differential, and let $\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi''_{xx} & \varphi''_{x\theta'} \\ \varphi''_{\theta'x} & \varphi''_{\theta'\theta'} \end{pmatrix}$.

Theorem

The **principal symbol map** $\sigma^{m+d/4}$ fits into a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I^{m-1}(X, \Lambda; \Omega^{1/2}) \rightarrow I^m(X, \Lambda; \Omega^{1/2}) \xrightarrow{\sigma^{m+d/4}} \mathcal{S}^{(m+d/4)}(\Lambda; M_\Lambda \otimes \Omega^{1/2}) \rightarrow 0$$

which splits.

Definition of FIOs

- $C \subset (T^*X \setminus 0) \times (T^*Y \setminus 0)$ is said to be a **canonical relation** if

$$\Lambda = C' = \{(x, y, \xi, -\eta) \mid (x, \xi; y, \eta) \in C\} \subset T^*(X \times Y) \setminus 0$$

is a Lagrangian submanifold.

- An operator $A: \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(Y; \Omega^{1/2}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(X; \Omega^{1/2})$ is said to be an **FIO of order m with underlying canonical relation C** , written $A \in I^m(X, Y, C; \Omega^{1/2})$, if its kernel K belongs to $I^m(X \times Y, \Lambda; \Omega^{1/2})$.
- Then $A: \mathcal{E}'(Y; \Omega^{1/2}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(X; \Omega^{1/2})$ and

$$\text{WF}(Au) \subseteq C \circ \text{WF}(u), \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{E}'(Y; \Omega^{1/2}).$$

Examples of FIO

For these lectures, the most important examples are:

- $U(t) = e^{itP} \in I^0(X, X, \text{graph } \chi_t; \Omega^{1/2})$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
- $U \in I^{-1/4}(\mathbb{R} \times X, X, C; \Omega^{1/2})$, where

$$C = \{(t, x, \tau, \xi; y, \eta) \mid \tau = p(x, \xi), (x, \xi) = \chi_t(y, \eta), \xi \neq 0\}.$$

- $\pi_* \Delta^* \in I^0(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R} \times X \times X, C_0; \Omega^{1/2})$, where

$$C_0 = \{(t, \tau; t, x, x, \tau, \xi, -\xi) \mid \tau \neq 0\}.$$

Composition

Let $A \in I^m(X, Y, C_0; \Omega^{1/2})$, $B \in I^p(Y, Z, C_1; \Omega^{1/2})$. Suppose that

- $C_0 \times C_1$ and $(T^*X \setminus 0) \times \Delta_{T^*Y \setminus 0} \times (T^*Z \setminus 0)$ intersect cleanly in C , with excess e .
- The fibers of the canonical map $C \rightarrow C_0 \circ C_1$ are connected and compact.
- The map $(\text{supp } K_A \times \text{supp } K_B) \cap (X \times \Delta_Y \times Z) \rightarrow X \times Z$, $(x, y, y, z) \mapsto (x, z)$ is proper.

Then $A \circ B \in I^{m+p+e/2}(X, Z, C_0 \circ C_1; \Omega^{1/2})$ and the principal symbol of $A \circ B$ is computable in terms of the principal symbol of A and the principal symbol of B .

Elliptic FIO and parametrices

Let $A \in I^m(X, X, C; \Omega^{1/2})$, where $C = \text{graph } \chi$ for some canonical transformation $\chi: T^*X \setminus 0 \rightarrow T^*X \setminus 0$.

- A is said to be **elliptic** if $\sigma^{m+d/4}(A)$ nowhere vanishes.
- In this case, there exists a **parametrix** $B \in I^{-m}(X, X, C; \Omega^{1/2})$, i.e., both

$$A \circ B - I, B \circ A - I$$

have \mathcal{C}^∞ kernels.

- (**Egorov's theorem**) If $P \in \Psi^p(X; \Omega^{1/2})$, then $B \circ P \circ A \in \Psi^p(X; \Omega^{1/2})$, with principal symbol $\chi^* \sigma^p(P)$.

Remark The parametrix construction can be microlocalized.

The main result

Assume that the periodic bicharacteristics, of period $T \neq 0$, as a point set are $\bigsqcup_{l=1}^r Y_l \subset S^*X$, where each Y_l is a **clean fixed point set** of χ_T ; $\dim Y_l = d_l$, $1 \leq l \leq r$.

Let $F: X \rightarrow X$ be a \mathcal{C}^∞ diffeomorphism and $Y \subset X$ be a submanifold consisting of fixed points of F . Then Y is said to be a clean fixed point set of F if

$$T_y Y = \{t \in T_y X \mid dF_y(t) = t\}, \quad \forall y \in Y.$$

Theorem

Under this assumption the period T is isolated and

$$w(t) \sim \sum_{s=1}^{\bar{d}+1} b_s (t - T + i0)^{-s/2} |dt|^{1/2} + O(\log(t - T)) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow T,$$

where $\bar{d} = \max_{1 \leq l \leq r} d_l$.

Furthermore, $b_s = 0$ for $s \equiv \bar{d} \pmod{2}$ if $d_l \equiv d_{l'} \pmod{2}$ for all $1 \leq l, l' \leq r$.

Proof of theorem

Working **microlocally**, the contribution coming from Y_l in the composition $\pi_* \Delta^* U$ is clean and of excess d_l , hence the result belongs to $I^{d_l/2-1/4}(\mathbb{R}, \Omega^{1/2}; \Lambda_T^+)$.

By its very definition, $I^{d_l/2-1/4}(\mathbb{R}, \Omega^{1/2}; \Lambda_T^+)$ consists of $1/2$ -distributional densities having **asymptotic expansions** as $t \rightarrow T$ in scalar multiplies of

$$\left(\int_0^\infty e^{it\tau} \tau^{\frac{d_l-1}{2}-k} d\tau \right) |dt|^{1/2}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

from which the result follows. \square

The case $d_1 = \dots = d_r = 1$

In this case, the Y_j are closed orbits and the **cleanness assumption** is equivalent with the periodic bicharacteristics, γ , of period T , be **non-degenerate**.

The **linearized Poincaré map** is the map

$$\Pi_\gamma: T_{\gamma(0)}(S^*X)/\text{span } H_p \rightarrow T_{\gamma(0)}(S^*X)/\text{span } H_p$$

induced by $d\chi_T(\gamma(0))$ (note that $\gamma(T) = \gamma(0)$) which is **symplectic**.

- Eigenvalues come in quadruples: $\lambda, \bar{\lambda}, \lambda^{-1}, \bar{\lambda}^{-1}$
- γ is non-degenerate if 1 is not an eigenvalue.
- A non-degenerate γ is **elliptic** if $|\lambda| = 1$ for all eigenvalues λ , i.e., $\lambda = \bar{\lambda}^{-1}$.
- A non-degenerate γ is **hyperbolic** if $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ for all eigenvalues λ , i.e., $\lambda = \bar{\lambda}$.
- A non-degenerate γ is **loxodromic** if all four $\lambda, \bar{\lambda}, \lambda^{-1}, \bar{\lambda}^{-1}$ are different.
- Mixed cases are possible.

The case $d_1 = \dots = d_r = 1$, cont.

The result in this case reads

$$w(t) \sim c_{-1T}(t-T+i0)^{-1}|dt|^{1/2} + \sum_{r \geq 0} c_{rT}(t-T+i0)^r \log(t-T+i0)|dt|^{1/2} \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow T$$

which is implied by $w \in I^{1/4}(\mathbb{R}, \Omega^{1/2}; \Lambda_T^+)$ where the latter holds near $t = T$.