

Vortrag Aebesbret

---

Cardona 2017

---

---

---

---

---

---



A vanishing theorem for  
topological characteristic  
classes of aspherical manifolds

T. Hebestreit (Bonn)

joint with M. Land, W. Lück &

Ø. Randal-Williams

## Conventions

Let  $M$  be a closed, connected, oriented  $d$ -dimensional manifold with

$$\bar{u}_1(M) = \Gamma.$$

$\pi: E \rightarrow B$  a smooth, oriented  $M$ -fibre bundle, e.g. a submersion of closed manifolds.

Then (-)

Let  $\pi$  be aspherical and satisfy

1) the identity Stückrad-Basel conj.  $\leftarrow$  IB

2) the central part of Buhgelea's conj.  $\leftarrow$  CPB

and let  $c \in H^k(\mathbb{B}SO(d), \mathbb{Q})$  with  $k \neq d$ .

Then

1) Whenever  $\bar{u}: E \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$  has trivial monodromy

$$0 = \kappa_c(\bar{u}) \in H^{k-d}(\mathbb{B}, \mathbb{Q})$$

2)  $0 = \kappa_c(\pi) \in H^{k-d}(\mathbb{B}\text{Diff}_0(\pi), \mathbb{Q})$

3) the scanning map

$$\mathbb{B}\text{Diff}_0(\pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}\text{Diff}^+(\pi) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}^\infty \pi TSO(d)$$

is rationally null homotopic

# Definitions

1) tautological classes:  $c \in H^\xi(\mathbb{B}SO(d))$

$$\kappa_c(\bar{u}) := \pi_* \underbrace{c \left( \underbrace{T_{\nu\pi}}_{H^k(E)} \right)}_{\in H^{\xi-d}(\mathbb{B})} \in H^{\xi-d}(\mathbb{B})$$

"integration"  
along fibres

vert. tangent  
bundle,  
 $\ker D\bar{u}$

$$\kappa(\pi): H^\xi(\mathbb{B}SO(d)) \rightarrow H^{\xi-d}(\mathbb{B}Diff^+(\Gamma))$$

2) (IBB)-conj: The map

$$\widetilde{Top}_0(\pi) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_0(\pi)$$

is an equivalence.

block homeo's

homotopy equiv's

3) (CPB)-conj:  $\forall g \in C(\Gamma)$

$$cd_{\mathbb{Q}}^{tc}(\Gamma / \langle g \rangle) < \infty$$

cohomological dimension

centre

## Remarks

1) If  $c(\Gamma) = 0$  the result is due to Bustamante-Ferrell-Jiang, 2015

2) For  $d \geq 4$  the (IBB)-conj for  $\mathcal{M}$  is implied by the Ferrell-Jones-conj for  $\mathcal{T}$  (Raucci),  
Yes!

3) For  $d \leq 3$  conclusion is known (Earle-Eells, Ebel)

## Remarks continued

4) Obtain the conclusion for

- $\Gamma$  hyperbolic as  $(AT(0)) \iff \Pi$  non positively curved
- $\Gamma$  acylindrical  $\iff \Pi \cong \frac{G}{K}$
- $\Gamma$  solvable, elementary amenable, linear over  $\mathbb{Q}, \dots$
- extensions of the above  $\iff$  fibre bundles
- ..

$\leadsto$  "almost all aspherical manifolds"

Remarks continued

---

5) Non-vanishing results:

Thm (Tillman, Madsen-Weiss, Galatius-RU)

$$\text{Let } W_g = (S^u \times S^u) \# g, \quad u \neq 2$$

$$\text{Sym} \left( H^{* > 2u}(\text{BSO}(2u) \langle u, 1 \rangle, \mathbb{Q}) [2u] \right) \otimes H^*(\text{BSO}(u), \mathbb{Q})$$



$k \cdot "k"$

$$H^*(\text{BDiff}^+(W_g), \mathbb{Q})$$

is an isomorphism below degree  $\frac{g-3}{2}$ .

More generally: Galatius - RW

$d$  even,  $\Gamma = 0$

$\leadsto H^*(\mathbb{B}\text{Diff}^+(M))$  can be described  
in degrees below  $\frac{g(M)-3}{2}$   
using the scanning map

$$\alpha: \mathbb{B}\text{Diff}^+(M) \rightarrow \Omega^\infty \pi T \mathbb{C}_n$$

- $\Gamma = 0$  can be relaxed (Friedrich)
- $d$  odd is a bit of a mystery  
(Ebert, H-Pestunov)

Note  $g(M) = 0$  if  $M$  aspherical  
and  $d \geq 4$ !

However:

Surfaces (and products thereof)  
show: Vanishing theorem  
does not hold in  $H^*(\mathbb{B}\text{Diff}^+(M))$

$\rightsquigarrow$  "almost vanish"

Remarks continued

---

6)  $k=d$

$$\leadsto \kappa_c(\pi) = \langle c(\pi), \pi \rangle \in H^0(\mathbb{B})$$

can be "arbitrary", since

Thm (Outarde)

Every class  $\in \Omega_*$  can be represented by a negatively curved manifold  $M$ .

Note: this implies  $c(\Gamma) = 0$ !

$\leadsto$  "almost all classes"

7) Our results are  
actually stronger!

i.e. there is

- an integral version
- a version for diffeomorphisms  
homotopic to the identity
- a version for topological/piecewise  
linear (Sloot) bundles
- a version including char. numbers

# Recall

1) topological classes:  $c \in H^k(BSO(d))$

$$k_c(\bar{\alpha}) = \pi_1 \in (T_v \pi) \in H^{k-d}(B)$$

$$k(\pi): H^k(BSO(d)) \rightarrow H^{k-d}(BDiff^+(\pi))$$

↑ exists integrally!

2) (IBB)-conj: The map  
 $\widetilde{Top}_0(\pi) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_0(\pi)$   
is an equivalence.

3) (CPB)-conj:  $\forall g \in C(\Gamma)$

$$cd_{\mathbb{Q}}^{top}(\Gamma / \langle g \rangle) < \infty$$

# Sketch of proof

Step 1 Some tautological classes can be defined for topological  $SO(d)$  bundles, in particular all rational ones can.

↪ suffices to show

$$0 = \kappa_c(\pi) \in H^{2-d}(\widetilde{B}SO(d), \mathbb{Q})$$

more precisely: We construct a lift

$$H^*(BSO(d)) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[e] \xrightarrow{\kappa} H^*(\widetilde{B}SO(d))$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^*(BSO(d)) & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & H^*(B\mathbb{Z}/2) \end{array}$$

generalising work of Ebert-RW.

# Sketch of proof continued

Now (IBB)-conj implies

$$\widetilde{B\text{Top}_0}(\pi) \xrightarrow{\cong} B\mathcal{G}_0(\pi) = \mathbb{B}^2 C(\Gamma)$$

$$\pi_i(\quad) = \begin{cases} C(\Gamma) & i=2 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

$\leadsto$  if  $C(\Gamma) = 0$

$$\widetilde{B\text{Top}_0}(\pi) \cong *$$

$\leadsto$  recover Bestvink-Feherl-Jiang immediately

# Sketch of proof continued

Now consider the universal Stock  
bundle with trivial monodromy

$$P: \widehat{E}(\pi) \rightarrow \widehat{B\text{Top}_0}(\pi)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi & \xrightarrow{\cong} & B\Gamma \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ E(\pi) & \cong & B(\Gamma / \langle c(\Gamma) \rangle) \\ \downarrow P & & \downarrow \\ \widehat{B\text{Top}_0}(\pi) & \cong & B^2 C(\Gamma) \end{array}$$

classifies the central  
extension

$$0 \rightarrow \langle c(\Gamma) \rangle \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma / \langle c(\Gamma) \rangle \rightarrow 0$$

Step 2: (CPB) - conj implies

$$C(\Gamma) \neq 0 \Rightarrow P! = 0$$

## Corollary

(IBB) + (CPB)

$M$  aspherical as above with  
 $C(\Gamma) \neq \emptyset$ .

Then all Poincaré numbers  
and the Euler characteristic  
of  $M$  vanish

due to  
Gottlieb

## Question

$M$  aspherical with  
 $C(\Gamma) \neq \emptyset$ .

Is  $M$  well cohomotopic?

Cappell - Weiringer - Yan:  $C(\Gamma)$  need not be  
induced by a principal torus action!

