

Noncommutative Geometry IV: Differential Geometry

17. Computing Hochschild cohomology with projective resolutions

R. Meyer

Mathematisches Institut
Universität Göttingen

Summer Term 2020

Computing Hochschild cohomology with projective resolutions

- ▶ We are going to use more and more **homological algebra**.
- ▶ This needs a lot of new language.
- ▶ Today we introduce **projective resolutions** and use them to compute Hochschild cohomology.
- ▶ This uses two ideas.
 - ▶ Hochschild cohomology can be obtained from the **bar resolution**, a certain “projective bimodule resolution”.
 - ▶ All projective bimodule resolutions of a module are “**chain homotopy equivalent**”.

The language of chain complexes

- ▶ **Chain complex** C_\bullet of A -modules: sequence of A -modules $(C_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ with **boundary maps** $d_n: C_n \rightarrow C_{n-1}$ such that $d_{n-1} \circ d_n = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- ▶ An **n -cycle** in C_\bullet is $x \in C_n$ with $d_n(x) = 0$.
- ▶ An **n -boundary** in C_\bullet is $d_{n+1}(x)$ with $x \in C_{n+1}$.
- ▶ cycles are **homologous** if they differ by a boundary.
- ▶ The n th **homology** of a chain complex is the quotient of n -cycles by n -boundaries, $H_n(C_\bullet) := \ker(d_n) / d_{n+1}(C_{n+1})$.
- ▶ A chain complex is called **exact** if all its cycles are boundaries or, equivalently, $H_n(C_\bullet) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- ▶ A **cochain complex** C^\bullet is similar, but has **coboundary maps** $d^n: C^n \rightarrow C^{n+1}$. The cochain complex analogues of cycles, boundaries, and homology for cochain complexes are **cocycles**, **coboundaries** and **cohomology**. The n th cohomology is written $H^n(C_\bullet)$.

The Hochschild complex

Example

The Hochschild cochains $A^\bullet \rightarrow M$ with the Hochschild coboundary form a cochain complex (of vector spaces).

Its cohomology is the Hochschild cohomology $\mathrm{HH}^n(A, M)$.

Projective resolutions

Definition

A **projective resolution** of an A -bimodule M is a chain complex P_\bullet of **projective** A -bimodules with $P_n = 0$ for $n < 0$ and with an **augmentation map** $d_0: P_0 \rightarrow M$ with $d_0 \circ d_1 = 0$, such that the following **augmented chain complex** is **exact**:

$$\cdots \rightarrow P_3 \rightarrow P_2 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots$$

Theorem

Let A be a unital algebra and let M be an A -bimodule. Let $P_\bullet \rightarrow A$ be a projective resolution of A by unital A -bimodules, with boundary maps d_n^P . Let $\text{Hom}_{A,A}(P_\bullet, M)$ be the cochain complex with A -bimodule maps $f: P_n \rightarrow M$ as n -cochains and the coboundary map $d^n(f) := (-1)^{n+1} f \circ d_{n+1}^P$. Then

$$\text{HH}^n(A, M) \cong H^n(\text{Hom}_{A,A}(P_\bullet, M)).$$

The bar resolution

Definition

- ▶ Let $\bar{A} := A/\mathbb{C} \cdot 1$ and let $\text{Bar}_n(A) := A \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes n} \otimes A$ for $n \geq 0$; here it is understood that $\text{Bar}_0(A) := A \otimes A$.
- ▶ Define $b' : \text{Bar}_n(A) \rightarrow \text{Bar}_{n-1}(A)$ by letting $b'(a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n+1})$ be

$$\sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{j-1} \otimes a_j \cdot a_{j+1} \otimes a_{j+2} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n+1}.$$

Lemma

The map b' is well defined and $b' \circ b' = 0$.

Proposition

$\text{Hom}_{A,A}(\text{Bar}_\bullet(A), M)$ is naturally isomorphic to the normalised Hochschild cochain complex for A with coefficients in M .

Chain maps

Definition

A **chain map** between two chain complexes of A -modules C_\bullet and D_\bullet is a sequence of A -module maps $f_n: C_n \rightarrow D_n$ with $f_n \circ d_n^C = d_n^D \circ f_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

That is, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & C_{n+2} & \xrightarrow{d_{n+2}^C} & C_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{d_{n+1}^C} & C_n & \xrightarrow{d_n^C} & C_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\ & & \downarrow f_{n+2} & & \downarrow f_{n+1} & & \downarrow f_n & & \downarrow f_{n-1} & & \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & D_{n+2} & \xrightarrow{d_{n+2}^D} & D_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{d_{n+1}^D} & D_n & \xrightarrow{d_n^D} & D_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots \end{array}$$

Chain homotopies

Definition

Let C_\bullet and D_\bullet be chain complexes.

Let $\text{Hom}(C_\bullet, D_\bullet)$ be the chain complex whose k -chains for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ are arbitrary sequences of maps $f_n: C_n \rightarrow D_{n+k}$ and whose boundary map maps (f_n) to the sequence of maps

$$d_{n+k}^D \circ f_n - (-1)^k f_{n-1} \circ d_n^C: C_n \rightarrow D_{n+k-1}.$$

Definition

Two chain maps $f_\bullet, g_\bullet: C_\bullet \rightarrow D_\bullet$ are **chain homotopic** if they are homologous in $\text{Hom}(C_\bullet, D_\bullet)$, that is, there is a sequence of maps $s_n: C_n \rightarrow D_{n+1}$ with $d_{n+1}^D \circ s_n + s_{n-1} \circ d_n^C = f_n - g_n$. This sequence (s_n) is also called a **chain homotopy** between f_\bullet and g_\bullet . A chain map is called **null homotopic** if it is homotopic to the zero map. A chain complex is called **contractible** if its identity map is homotopic to the zero map. A 1-chain s of $\text{Hom}(C_\bullet, C_\bullet)$ with $d(s) = \text{Id}_{C_\bullet}$ is called a **contracting homotopy** of C_\bullet .

Chain homotopy equivalence

Lemma

Let $f, g: C_\bullet \rightrightarrows D_\bullet$ be two homotopic chain maps. Then f and g induce the same map on homology.

Definition

A chain map $f_\bullet: C_\bullet \rightarrow D_\bullet$ is called a (chain) **homotopy equivalence** if there is a chain map $g_\bullet: D_\bullet \rightarrow C_\bullet$ such that $f_\bullet \circ g_\bullet$ and $g_\bullet \circ f_\bullet$ are homotopic to the identity maps on D_\bullet and C_\bullet .

Two chain complexes are called (chain) **homotopy equivalent** if such a chain homotopy exists.

Proposition

Let $\varphi_\bullet: C_\bullet \rightarrow D_\bullet$ be a homotopy equivalence between two chain complexes of A -bimodules and let F be an additive functor on the category of A -bimodules. Then $F(\varphi_\bullet)$ induces an isomorphism on homology, $H_n(F(C_\bullet)) \rightarrow H_n(F(D_\bullet))$.

The uniqueness of projective resolutions

Theorem

Let M and M' be two A -bimodules and let $P_\bullet \rightarrow M$ and $P'_\bullet \rightarrow M'$ be projective A -bimodule resolutions.

Then any bimodule homomorphism $f: M \rightarrow M'$ lifts to a bimodule homomorphism chain map $P_\bullet \rightarrow P'_\bullet$; this lifting is unique up to chain homotopy. In symbols:

$$H_0(\mathrm{Hom}_{A,A}(P_\bullet, P'_\bullet)) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{A,A}(M, M').$$

Corollary

All projective A -bimodule resolutions of an A -bimodule M are homotopy equivalent as chain complexes of A -bimodules.

Application to Hochschild cohomology

Theorem

Let A be a unital algebra and let M be an A -bimodule. Let $P_\bullet \rightarrow A$ be a projective resolution of A by unital A -bimodules, with boundary maps d_n^P . Then

$$\mathrm{HH}^n(A, M) \cong \mathrm{H}^n(\mathrm{Hom}_{A,A}(P_\bullet, M)).$$

Proof.

- ▶ If P_\bullet is the bar resolution, then $\mathrm{Hom}_{A,A}(P_\bullet, M)$ is the normalised Hochschild complex.
- ▶ If P_\bullet is any projective bimodule resolution, then P_\bullet is chain homotopy equivalent to the bar resolution as a complex of bimodules.
- ▶ This implies that an isomorphism on cohomology. □

Computation for semisimple algebras

- ▶ Let A be a semi-simple, finite-dimensional algebra.
- ▶ Then A itself is projective as an A -bimodule.
- ▶ This gives a very short projective bimodule resolution with $P_n = 0$ for $n \geq 1$ and $P_0 = A$.
- ▶ $\mathrm{HH}^n(A, M)$ is the cohomology of the chain complex

$$\cdots 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{A,A}(A, M) \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots .$$

- ▶ That is, $\mathrm{HH}^n(A, M) = 0$ for $n \geq 1$ and $\mathrm{HH}^0(A, M) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{A,A}(A, M)$, the centre of M .