# Noncommutative Geometry IV: Differential Geometry 19. Hochschild cohomology for algebras of smooth functions

#### R. Meyer

Mathematisches Institut Universität Göttingen

Summer Term 2020

Hochschild cohomology for algebras of smooth functions

- We identify the continuous Hochschild cohomology of C<sup>∞</sup>(X) for a smooth manifold X with the spaces of k-vector fields on X for k ≥ 0.
- To prove the result above, we change the definitions of "projective" and "resolution", taking into account topologies on modules.
- The "projective resolutions" in the new sense are very similar to the Koszul resolutions for polynomials.

## The main theorem

## Definition

A *k*-vector field is a section of the *k*th exterior power of the tangent bundle of *X*. That is,  $\pi(x) \in \Lambda^k T_x X$  for all  $x \in X$ .

#### Theorem

The kth continuous Hochschild cohomology of  $C^{\infty}(X)$  with coefficients in  $C^{\infty}(X)$  is naturally isomorphic to the space  $\mathfrak{X}^{k}(X)$ of smooth k-vector fields on X. The isomorphism maps a k-vector field  $\pi$  to the Hochschild k-cocycle

$$\Sigma_{\pi} \colon \mathsf{C}^{\infty}(X)^k \to \mathsf{C}^{\infty}(X),$$
  
 $\Sigma_{\pi}(f_1, \ldots, f_k)(x) \coloneqq \langle \pi(x) | Df_1(x), \cdots, Df_k(x) \rangle.$ 

Here  $Df_j(x) \in (T_x X)^*$  is the derivative of  $f_j$  at x.

Why change the notion of bimodule?

- We want to compute the continuous Hochschild cohomology using "projective resolutions".
- We need topological modules to talk about continuous maps.
- ► The algebraic tensor product C<sup>∞</sup>(ℝ<sup>n</sup>) ⊗ C<sup>∞</sup>(ℝ<sup>n</sup>) is not a nice space of functions.
- We want to complete it to  $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ .
- But C<sup>∞</sup>(ℝ<sup>n</sup> × ℝ<sup>n</sup>) is not projective because the diagonal restriction map C<sup>∞</sup>(ℝ<sup>n</sup> × ℝ<sup>n</sup>) → C<sup>∞</sup>(ℝ<sup>n</sup>) does not lift to a bimodule map C<sup>∞</sup>(ℝ<sup>n</sup> × ℝ<sup>n</sup>) → C<sup>∞</sup>(ℝ<sup>n</sup>) ⊗ C<sup>∞</sup>(ℝ<sup>n</sup>).
- We restrict to complete topological modules and bimodules.

# The right bimodules over $C^{\infty}(X)$

## Theorem

Let M and N be smooth manifolds and V a complete locally convex topological vector space. A continuous bilinear map  $b: C^{\infty}(M) \times C^{\infty}(N) \rightarrow V$  extends uniquely to a continuous linear map  $I: C^{\infty}(M \times N) \rightarrow V$ . The complete projective topological tensor product of  $C^{\infty}(M)$  and  $C^{\infty}(N)$  is naturally isomorphic to  $C^{\infty}(M \times N)$ .

#### Theorem

A complete locally convex topological bimodule over  $C^{\infty}(X)$  is the same as a complete locally convex topological module over  $C^{\infty}(X \times X)$ .

# Why change the definition of exactness?

#### Lemma

If V is a finite-dimensional vector space, then  $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n, V)$  is a projective  $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -bimodule.

## Proof.

 $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n)}(\operatorname{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n,V),M)\cong\operatorname{Hom}(V,M).$ 

- The bar resolution uses C<sup>∞</sup>(ℝ<sup>n</sup> × ℝ<sup>n</sup>, V) for infinite-dimensional V, say, V = C<sup>∞</sup>(ℝ<sup>n</sup> × · · · × ℝ<sup>n</sup>).
- This is only projective for extensions of topological modules with a continuous linear section.
- If a chain complex C<sub>●</sub> has a continuous contracting homotopy, then the chain complex Hom(V, C<sub>●</sub>) of continuous linear maps V → C<sub>●</sub> is again contractible, hence exact.
- We only allow exact chain complexes and resolutions with a continuous contracting homotopy and call these admissible.

# Continuous Hochschild cohomology and projective resolutions

#### Theorem

Let A be a complete, locally convex topological unital algebra and let M be a complete, locally convex topological unital A-module. Let P<sub>•</sub> be a chain complex with an augmentation  $P_0 \rightarrow A$ . Suppose that the bimodules  $P_n$  are relatively projective and that the augmented chain complex is admissibly exact. Then  $HH^n_{cont}(A, M) \cong H^n(Hom_{A,A}(P_{\bullet}, M))$ .

- ▶ Replacing C[x<sub>1</sub>,..., x<sub>n</sub>] ⊗ C[y<sub>1</sub>,..., y<sub>n</sub>] in the Koszul resolution for polynomials by C<sup>∞</sup>(ℝ<sup>n</sup> × ℝ<sup>n</sup>) gives a projective resolution as above.
- ► This allows to compute the continuous Hochschild cohomology of C<sup>∞</sup>(ℝ<sup>n</sup>).
- The computation for a manifold X uses the Koszul resolution for the tangent bundle TX and another trick to replace X × X by a tubular neighbourhood TX around the diagonal.