Noncommutative Geometry IV: Differential Geometry 21. Hochschild cohomology of the Weyl algebra — De Rham cohomology

R. Meyer

Mathematisches Institut Universität Göttingen

Summer Term 2020

## Part 1: Hochschild cohomology of the Weyl algebra

- The Koszul resolution for two variables also gives a projective bimodule resolution for the Weyl algebra.
- We use this to compute the Hochschild cohomology of the Weyl algebra.
- ▶ It turns out that the Hochschild cohomology for  $\hbar = 0$  and  $\hbar \neq 0$  are quite different.
- Hochschild cohomology is not invariant under deformation quantisation.

#### The Koszul resolution once again

For  $\hbar = 0$ , this is the Koszul resolution of  $\mathbb{C}[p, q]$ .

 $d_0(a \otimes b) := a \cdot b.$ 

# The Hochschild cohomology results

#### Theorem

 $(P_k, d_k)$  is a projective A-bimodule resolution of A. Let M be an A-bimodule. Then  $HH^n(A, M) = 0$  for  $n \ge 3$  and

$$\mathrm{HH}^{2}(A,M)\cong M / [p,M] + [q,M].$$

In particular,  $HH^2(A, A) = 0$  if  $\hbar \neq 0$ .

#### Corollary

Any formal deformation quantisation of the Weyl algebra A with  $\hbar \neq 0$  is equivalent to the trivial one:  $m(a, b) = a \cdot b$  for  $a, b \in A$ .

### Discontinuity of Hochschild cohomology for $\hbar \to 0$

- If ħ ≠ 0, then the Weyl algebra has the same Hochschild cohomology with coefficients A as C: HH<sup>0</sup>(A, A) = C · 1<sub>A</sub> and HH<sup>k</sup>(A, A) = 0 for k ≥ 1.
- If ħ = 0, then the Hochschild cohomology of A = C[p, q] is much bigger: HH<sup>k</sup>(A, A) = {k-vector fields on ℝ<sup>2</sup>}.

## Part II: De Rham cohomology of smooth manifolds

#### The final goal of this class is to define periodic cyclic cohomology,

a cohomology theory for noncommutative algebras that generalises de Rham cohomology for smooth manifolds.

 Today we recall the definition of de Rham cohomology for smooth manifolds. The first de Rham boundary map Example (de Rham complex of ℝ)

$$\cdots \to 0 \to \mathsf{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathsf{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \to 0 \to \dots$$
$$f \mapsto f'.$$

The derivative map is surjective. Its kernel is  $\mathbb{R} \cdot \{1\}$ . De Rham cohomology of  $\mathbb{R}$ :  $H^1_{dR}(\mathbb{R}) = 0$  and  $H^0_{dR}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}$ .

- Let *M* be any smooth manifold.
- The derivative of a smooth function f: M → ℝ at x ∈ M is a linear map T<sub>x</sub>M → T<sub>f(x)</sub>ℝ ≅ ℝ.
- This defines a section of the cotangent bundle  $T^*M$ .
- Let Ω<sup>0</sup>(M) := C<sup>∞</sup>(M) and let Ω<sup>1</sup>(M) be the space of smooth sections of the cotangent bundle of M.

► d: 
$$\Omega^0(M) \to \Omega^1(M)$$
,  $(df)(x) := D_x f$ ,  
is the first de Rham boundary map.

### Physical interpretation

- Use a Riemannian metric to identify Ω<sup>1</sup>(M) with the space of vector fields on M.
- Then d maps a smooth function V to its gradient grad(V).
- Physical interpretation: V is a potential function and - grad(V) is the force field defined by the potential.
- If dV = 0, then V is locally constant (constant on each connected component of M). Thus the potential is unique up to adding a constant if M is connected.
- A force field is conservative if it admits a potential. This is equivalent to energy conservation.
- While every force field on ℝ is conservative, this fails for all other smooth manifolds.

## The second de Rham boundary

#### Proposition

A force field  $\sum_{j=1}^{n} f_j dx_j$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is conservative if and only if it satisfies the system of linear partial differential equations

$$\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x_i}, \qquad \forall 1 \le i < j \le n.$$

Let Λ<sup>k</sup>(T\*M) be the vector bundle on M defined at each point by taking the kth exterior power of Λ<sup>1</sup>(T\*M).

► The second de Rham boundary on 
$$\mathbb{R}^n$$
 is  
d:  $\Omega^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \Omega^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^n f_j \, dx_j \mapsto \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x_i} \, dx_i \wedge dx_j =$   
 $\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} \left( \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} \right) \, dx_i \wedge dx_j.$ 

#### Proposition

The first de Rham cohomology of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  vanishes for all  $n \ge 1$ .

## The de Rham complex of a smooth manifold

• Let  $\Omega^k(M)$  be the space of sections of  $\Lambda^k(\mathsf{T}^*M)$  for  $k \ge 0$ .

- This vanishes for  $k > \dim M$ .
- Define the boundary map d: Ω<sup>k</sup>(M) → Ω<sup>k+1</sup>(M) in local coordinates by

$$\mathsf{d}(f\,\mathsf{d} x_{i_1}\,\mathsf{d} x_{i_2}\ldots\mathsf{d} x_{i_k})=\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}\,\mathsf{d} x_j\,\mathsf{d} x_{i_1}\,\mathsf{d} x_{i_2}\ldots\mathsf{d} x_{i_k}.$$

Some work is needed to check that this is well defined and  $d^2 = 0$ .

The complex so defined is the de Rham complex.

Its cohomology is called de Rham cohomology.

# Properties of de Rham cohomology

#### Theorem

The de Rham cohomology and the singular cohomology of a smooth manifold with coefficients  $\mathbb{R}$  are naturally isomorphic.

#### Corollary

De Rham cohomology is homotopy invariant: Homotopic smooth maps  $f, g: X \Rightarrow Y$ induce the same map in de Rham cohomology.

Corollary (Poincaré Lemma)  $H_{dR}^{k}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) = 0$  for all  $k \geq 1$ .

### De Rham cohomology in dimension 3

• Let *M* be an oriented smooth manifold of dimension 3.

$$\blacktriangleright C^{\infty}(M) = \Omega^{0}(M).$$

• *M* has a volume form  $\omega \in \Omega^3(M)$ .

• 
$$C^{\infty}(M) \cong \Omega^{3}(M), f \mapsto f \cdot \omega.$$

- Ω<sup>2</sup>(M) ≅ Ω<sup>1</sup>(M) ≅ 𝔅(M), the space of smooth vector fields on M, by using a Riemannian metric on M and the resulting volume form.
- The de Rham complex becomes a cochain complex

$$0 \to \mathsf{C}^\infty(M) \to \mathfrak{X}(M) \to \mathfrak{X}(M) \to \mathsf{C}^\infty(M) \to 0.$$